

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/764,447	01/27/2004	Bernard B. Fresco	4029-49	8697
1059	7590 04/20/2005		EXAMINER	
BERESKIN AND PARR			TRIEU, THERESA	
40 KING STREET WEST BOX 401			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TORONTO, ON M5H 3Y2			3748	
CANADA			DATE MAILED: 04/20/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	
\boldsymbol{r}	
~	- 2
~	_

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/764,447	FRESCO, BERNARD B.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
99999	Theresa Trieu	3748			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ja 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) 8-13 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2-5 and 7 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on Jan. 27, 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the	wn from consideration. r election requirement. r. p⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is responsive to the applicant's amendment filed on January 18, 2005.

Claims 1, 2, 4 and 5 have been amended. Claims 8-13 have been added. Claims 1-13 are pending in this application.

Applicant's cooperation in correcting the informalities in the specification and the claims are appreciated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Murr (Patent Number 3,677,074).

Regarding claim 1, as shown in Fig. 1, Murr discloses a method of obtaining pressure within the eyeball of a subject, the method comprising the steps of:

- (a) applying a constant known first reference pressure (14, 17- 16, 18) to a first location on the eyelid of the subject;
- (b) applying at least a second pressure (16, 18 –14, 17) to an at least second location on the eyelid of the subject;
- (c) increasing the second pressure until a slight increase in the pressure is detected at the first location beyond the constant known reference pressure, and

Art Unit: 3748

(d) noting the second pressure being applied at the time the increase in the pressure is detected at the first location, the noted second pressure being an indication of the pressure within the eyeball (see col. 3, line 8-11 in Murr).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over any one of Murr '074.

Murr discloses the invention as recited above; however, Murr fails to disclose applying more than one second pressure at a separate second location on the eyelid.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have utilized the plurality of second pressure at a separate second location on the eyelid, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8 (see MPEP §2144.04).

Art Unit: 3748

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 2-5 and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. Claims 8-13 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on January 18, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With regard to applicant's argument that Murr '074 does not teach/suggest applying further pressure with one of the sensors while at the same time maintaining a constant pressure with the other of the sensors. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Murr clearly teaches applying a constant know first reference pressure (16) to a first location, applying at least a second pressure to a second location and increase the second pressure (14) until a slight increase in the pressure is detected beyond the constant know reference pressure (see col. 2, line 13-18 and 41-45; see col. 3, line 8-11) [emphasis added].

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Application/Control Number: 10/764,447

Art Unit: 3748

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Page 5

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Communication

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Theresa Trieu whose telephone number is 571-272-4868. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30am- 5:00pm. The new telephone

number is 571-272-4868 that will become effective after November 22, 2004.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thomas E Denion can be reached on 571-272-4859. The new telephone number is

571-272-4859 that will become effective after November 22, 2004. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Theresa Trieu

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3748

TT

A 1.